

COMPUTER IMPLEMENTED CUSTOMER VALUE MODEL IN AIRLINE INDUSTRY

Filed of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to a computer implemented method and
5 apparatus for evaluating records indicative of contributions of a customer to a business,
such as an airline.

Background of the Invention

Finding highly valued customers is an important objective of any business in
order to improve upon a company's profitability. Not only do companies wish to acquire
10 highly valued customers, it is equally important to identify, retain and conduct additional
business with their existing highly valued customers. There has long been a need for
customer value models as a critical component in the field of customer relationship
management. When the most profitable or highly valued customers to a company have
been identified by a customer value model, several models such as retention, acquisition,
15 or growth models can be developed that target these highly valued customers. There are
no such known customer value models in the airline industry of which the applicant is
aware.

Typically, a customer's value is measured by the profitability or net revenue
contribution (which is revenue less cost). Though this trend has been observed in many
20 industries, it may not be true in the airline industry where customers who contribute
higher net revenue are not necessarily the most frequent fliers. This will be illustrated
with an example of two customers, one of whom flies internationally once a year with
high fares for vacation, and the other flies more often within the United States for
business. The first customer with the international vacation travel will generate more net
25 revenue than the second customer, despite the fact that the latter flies much more
frequently. However, the second customer could be more valuable to the airline because

this customer is more likely to fly often and generate more revenue in the near future than the first customer, who may generate more revenue now but less in the future. Therefore, developers of an accurate customer value model in the airline industry should not ignore the number of flights taken by each customer in a given evaluation period.

- 5 Another factor to be considered when determining a customer's value is the miles flown. Mileage is known to be proportional to the number of flights, and can be presented by, or combined with, the number of flights factor.

Summary of the Invention

- 10 It is an object of the present invention to provide a computer based implemented method and apparatus for evaluating records based on data therein, which is especially suitable for evaluating contributions of a customer to a business.

- 15 It is a further object of the present invention to provide a computer implemented method and apparatus for evaluating customer contributions in the airline industry, which takes into account both net revenue and flying frequency to produce accurate customer values.

- 20 These and other objects of the present invention are achieved by a computer implemented method of evaluating a plurality of records, wherein each record has a first and a second attributes with associated attribute values. In accordance with the method, a score is assigned for each of the attribute values. Next, the records are consecutively sorted by the assigned scores, first for the first attribute, then for the second attribute. In the subsequent step, the records are further sorted by the attribute values, preferably, until records having different attribute values have been sorted to different ranks. Finally, an evaluation score is assigned to each record which has been sorted.

- 25 In accordance with an aspect of the invention, the scores and evaluation scores are assigned by first breaking the records into groups, and then assigning some score to records in each of the groups.

The foregoing objects of the present invention are also achieved by a computer implemented method of evaluating customers in the airline industry in a given period. The method comprises the step of obtaining records of each customer's contribution factors which include net revenue and number of flights. In accordance with the method, a score is assigned for each of the attribute values. Next, the records are consecutively sorted by the assigned scores, first for the net revenue, then for the number of flights. In the subsequent step, the records are further sorted by the raw values of the net revenue and number of flights, preferably, until records having different net revenue and/or number of flights have been sorted to different ranks. Finally, an evaluation score is assigned to each record which has been sorted.

The foregoing objects of the present invention are also achieved by a computer architecture for evaluating a plurality of records, wherein each record has first and second attributes with associated attribute values. The computer architecture comprises means for first assigning a score for each of the attribute values. Means for first sorting and means for second sorting are provided to consecutively sort the records by the assigned scores, first for the first attribute, then for the second attribute. Means for third sorting is also provided to further sort the records by the attribute values, preferably, until records having different attribute values have been sorted to different ranks. Means for second assigning assigns an evaluation score to each record which has been sorted.

The foregoing objects of the present invention are also achieved by a computer system for evaluating a plurality of records, wherein each record has first and second attributes with associated attribute values. The computer system comprises a processor and a memory coupled to the processor. The memory has stored therein sequences of instructions, which, when executed by the processor, cause the processor to assign a score for each of the attribute values. Next, the processor consecutively sorts the records by the assigned scores, first for the first attribute, then for the second attribute. The processor further sorts the records by the attribute values, preferably, until records having different attribute values have been sorted to different ranks. Finally, the processor assigns an evaluation score to each record which has been sorted.

The foregoing objects of the present invention are also achieved by an article, for use in evaluating a plurality of records, wherein each record has a first and a second attributes with associated attribute values. The article comprises at least one sequence of machine readable instructions in machine readable form. The execution of the

5 instructions by one or more processors causes the one or more processors to assign a score for each of the attribute values. Next, the one or more processors consecutively sort(s) the records by the assigned scores, first for the first attribute, then for the second attribute. The one or more processors further sort(s) the records by the attribute values, preferably, until records having different attribute values have been sorted to different
10 ranks. Finally, the one or more processors assign(s) an evaluation score to each record which has been sorted.

Object

Still other objects and advantages of the present invention will become readily apparent to those skilled in this art from the following detailed description, wherein only the
15 preferred embodiments of the invention are shown and described, simply by way of illustration of the best mode contemplated of carrying out the invention. As will be realized, the invention is capable of other and different embodiments, and its several details are capable of modifications in various obvious respects, all without departing from the invention. Accordingly, the drawing and description are to be regarded as illustrative in
20 nature, and not as restrictive.

Brief Description of the Drawings

The present invention is illustrated by way of example, and not by limitation, in the figures of the accompanying drawings, wherein elements having the same reference numeral designations represent like elements throughout and wherein:

25 Fig. 1 is a high-level block diagram of an exemplary computer system with which the present invention can be implemented.

Fig. 2 is an illustration of a database to be manipulated on in accordance with the present invention.

Fig. 3 is a flow chart illustrating the processes performed in accordance with an implementation of the invention.

5 **Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention**

A method of and apparatus for evaluating individual customer contributions within the airline industry according to the present invention are described. In the following detailed description, for purposes of explanation, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. It will be
10 apparent, however, that the present invention may be practiced without these specific details. In other instances, well-known structures and devices are shown in block diagram form in order to simplify the drawing.

Figure 1 is a high-level block diagram illustrating an exemplary computer system 100 with which the present invention can be implemented. The present invention is
15 usable with currently available personal computers, mini-mainframes and the like.

Computer system 100 includes a bus 102 or other communication mechanism for communicating information, and a processor 104 coupled with the bus 102 for processing information. Computer system 100 also includes a main memory 106, such as a random access memory (RAM) or other dynamic storage device, coupled to the bus 102 for
20 storing information and instructions to be executed by processor 104. Main memory 106 may also be used for storing temporary variables or other intermediate information during execution of instructions to be executed by processor 104. Computer system 100 further includes a read only memory (ROM) 108 or other static storage device coupled to the bus 102 for storing static information and instructions for the processor 104. A storage
25 device 110, such as a magnetic disk or optical disk, is provided and coupled to the bus 102 for storing information and instructions.

Computer system 100 may be coupled via the bus 102 to a display 112, such as a cathode ray tube (CRT) or a flat panel display, for displaying information to a computer user. An input device 114, including alphanumeric and other keys, is coupled to the bus 102 for communicating information and command selections to the processor 104.

- 5 Another type of user input device is cursor control 116, such as a mouse, a trackball, or cursor direction keys for communicating direction information and command selections to processor 104 and for controlling cursor movement on the display 112. This input device typically has two degrees of freedom in two axes, a first axis (e.g., x) and a second axis (e.g., y) allowing the device to specify positions in a plane.

- 10 According to one embodiment of the invention, a variety of information and services are provided by computer system 100 in response to processor 104 executing sequences of instructions contained in main memory 106. Such instructions may be read into main memory 106 from another computer-readable medium, such as storage device 110. However, the computer-readable medium is not limited to devices such as storage
- 15 device 110. For example, the computer-readable medium may include a floppy disk, a flexible disk, hard disk, magnetic tape, or any other magnetic medium, a CD-ROM, DVD-ROM, or any other optical medium, punch cards, paper tape, or any other physical medium with patterns of holes, a RAM, a PROM, an EPROM, a FLASH-EPROM, or any other memory chip or cartridge, a carrier wave embodied in an electrical,
- 20 electromagnetic, infrared, or optical signal, or any other medium from which a computer can read. Execution of the sequences of instructions contained in the main memory 106 causes the processor 104 to perform the process steps described below. In alternative embodiments, hard-wired circuitry may be used in place of or in combination with computer software instructions to implement the invention. Thus, embodiments of the
- 25 invention are not limited to any specific combination of hardware circuitry and software.

Computer system 100 also includes a communication interface 118 coupled to the bus 102. Communication interface 108 provides a two-way data communication as is known. For example, communication interface 118 may be an integrated services digital network (ISDN) card, a digital subscriber line (DSL) card, a cable modem, or a telephone

modem to provide a data communication connection to a corresponding type of communication line. As another example, communication interface 118 may be a local area network (LAN) card to provide a data communication connection to a compatible LAN. Wireless links may also be implemented. In any such implementation,

5 communication interface 118 sends and receives, through a data communication connection 120, electrical, electromagnetic or optical signals which carry digital data streams representing various types of information. Of a particular note, the communications through interface 118 may permit transmission or receipt of data.

Fig. 2 is an illustrative database 200 which contains data to be manipulated in accordance with the present invention. The database 200 comprises a number of rows and columns of information, for example, m rows and n columns. A row corresponds to a record which is generally unique to a customer. As shown in Fig. 2, there are m records corresponding to m customers in the database 200. A column corresponds to an attribute of a record. As shown in Fig. 2, a record may have up to n attributes, corresponding to n columns. The intersection of a row and a column comprises a cell that may contain data for a particular record (customer) related to a particular attribute. As shown in Fig. 2, record/customer 1 has $data_{1,1}$ related to attribute 1, $data_{1,2}$ related to attribute 2, and so on. The last record/customer m has $data_{m,n}$ related to the last attribute n . A person of ordinary skill in the art may envision database structures of types other than the one of the database 200.

Data of a cell can be input, e. g. keyed in by an operator, imported from an existing database, transmitted from other systems, or entered in any manner known in the art, into the database 200. The data can also be internally interpolated based on the information which have been already stored in the database 200, alone or together with information from other sources. The data can be of any format which is suitable for sorting and evaluating operations by the present invention. The appropriate data formats include, but are not limited to, number, currency, date, time, text, sound, and image. The real data representing customer contributions with respect to the airline industry will probably look like the following Table 1:

Record/ Customer	Net Revenue (CV)	No. of Flights (FV)	Net Contribution Score (CS)	Gross Frequency Score (FS)	Evaluation Score	CV/FV	CV*FV
1	850	2	4	1	82	425	1700
2	682	1	4	1	82	682	682
3	450	4	3	3	72	112. 5	1800
4	503	3	3	3	74	167. 6	1509
5	122	6	1	4	61	20. 3	732
6	159	5	1	4	60	31. 8	795
7	1263	5	4	4	94	252. 6	6315
8	2202	6	4	4	94	367	13212
9	510	5	3	4	79	102	2550
10	180	4	1	3	53	45	720

Table 1: Exemplary data representing customer contribution in the airline industry

5 Table 1 has a structure similar to the structure of the database 200. In particular, there are 10 records/customers to be evaluated in the example shown in Table 1. Each customer's contribution is represented by values or scores associated with one of seven attributes listed in the header row of Table 1. Among these attributes, data for the very first two, that is Net Revenue (CV) and No. of Flights (FV), are generally input into

10 Table 1, e. g. through a sales monitoring or account management system. Data of the remaining attributes are interpolated based on CV and FV with or without further consideration of other information sources.

A detailed flowchart setting forth the sequences of operations performed in accordance with the invention is shown in Fig. 3, and will be explained with reference to

15 Table 1.

Starting from an optional auxiliary step (not shown) of obtaining data to be manipulated, processing proceeds to a first assigning step where a score is assigned for each of the record values associated with certain attributes. In the preferred embodiment, the records/customers are sorted, in step 30, in ascending order of CV or FV values.

20 Next, in step 32, the sorted CV or FV values are split into quartiles, with the break points

5

10

20

points are chosen will depend on many factors, such as data sensitivity and data distribution. Likewise, the attribute scores of 1, 2, 3 and 4 are for the sake of illustration and simplicity only, and any other set of scores may be used instead.

In subsequent steps of first sorting and second sorting, the records are sorted in order of the assigned attribute scores. In the preferred embodiment, the records/customers are firstly sorted, in step 36, in ascending order of CS score. After that, the sorted records/customers are sorted a second time, in step 38, in ascending order of FS score. In other words, the pairs of scores (CS,FS) are sorted in the following order: (4,4), (4,3), (4,2), (4,1), (3,4), (3,3), . . . , (1,1). In the example illustrated in Table 1, customers #7 and #8 of (4,4) pair are ranked first, while customer #10 of (1,3) pair is ranked last.

Of a particular note, there may be records/customers which have different CV and/or FV values, but the values are close enough so that these records/customers may have the same pair of (CS,FS). For example, customers #3 and #4 in Table 1 have the same pair (3,3) even though their CV values (450 and 503, respectively) and FV values (4 and 3, respectively) are different. It is possible that several records/customers may be sorted to the same rank after sorting steps 36 and 38. If this is the case, an additional third sorting step will be invoked as a result of a return of "yes" at a verifying step 40. Otherwise, the processing moves to a final step of second assigning, at a return of "no" of the verifying step 40.

In the third sorting step, the previously sorted records are further sorted based on the original attribute values. Preferably, the third sorting step is performed until all records/customers, which have the same assigned scores but different attribute values, have been sorted to different ranks. In a preferred embodiment, the records/customers, which have the same pair of (CS,FS) but different CV values, determined in step 42, are sorted in ascending order of their corresponding CV values, in step 44. The records/customers, which have the same pair of (CS,FS) and same CV values but

different FV values, determined in step 46, are sorted in ascending order of their corresponding FV values, in step 48.

In another preferred embodiment, when it has been found, in step 40, that there are records/customers having the same pair of (CS,FS), they are sorted by their CV values, without considering their FV values. If they still have the same CV values, then they are sorted by their FV values. For example, as shown in Table 1, customers #3 and #4 of the same pair (3,3) may be sorted to different ranks due to their CV values. Since these customers have different CV values, there is no need to sort further by their FV values.

One purpose of the third sorting step is to ensure that the record/customer having the highest CV and FV values among (4,4) pairs is ranked first, while the record/customer having the lowest CV and FV values among (1,1) pairs is ranked last. The records/customers, which have identical CV and FV values, and hence, the exact same pair of (CS,FS), should of course be treated equally as though they were one record/customer. The processing then moves to the final step.

Obviously, other arrangements are readily contemplated in order to perform the first, second and third sorting steps. For example, if more than two attributes are chosen in the first assigning step, additional score sorting steps may be included before performing the third sorting step to consecutively sort the records in the order based on all the attribute scores assigned. Additional value sorting steps may further be included in the third sorting step to ensure that records with different values of certain attributes should be sorted to different ranks. It is also possible for an attribute to be important only in the assigned score but not in the original values. In that case, an additional score sorting step may be needed, while a value sorting step may not be necessary.

In the final step of second assigning, an evaluation score is assigned for each record which has been sorted. In the preferred embodiment, the finally sorted records/customers are split, in step 50, into a number, e. g. 100, of groups. Each group is then assigned a corresponding evaluation score of, e. g. from 1 to 100, in step 52. The

evaluation scores corresponding to each of the records/customers are stored in Table 1 under the "Evaluation Score" column.

In the preferred embodiment, the record/customer which has been ranked first in the preceding sorting steps will be assigned an evaluation score of 100, while the record/customer which has been ranked last in the preceding sorting steps will be assigned an evaluation score of 1. Obviously, other arrangements are readily contemplated in order to perform the second assigning step. For example, the finally sorted records/customers may be split into any desired number of groups, and any other set of evaluation scores may be used instead of the 1 to 100 range. As described above, the decision as to how many groups and which evaluation score sets are to be chosen will depend on many factors, such as policies prevalent within the industry at a given moment, the amount and type of data collected, data sensitivity, and data distribution.

The advantages of the evaluation methods in accordance with the present invention are obvious given the above description and discussions. For example, evaluation methods of the invention greatly simplify the solution to the stated problem by introducing and manipulating the attribute scores. Instead of the raw values. The discretized range of scores makes the data easy to interpret, especially when quartile break points are used. Moreover, applying evaluation scores in the 1 to 100 range for customer valuation tends to be a more intuitive measure.

In implementing the evaluation methods of the present invention, certain record attributes are given more weight than others. For example, it is important in the airline industry to consider both Net Revenue and flying frequency, or No. of Flights. According to an aspect of the invention, Net Revenue has a higher priority than No. of Flights, which, in some situations, may be the decisive factor in determining which customer is of higher value than the others. In the example illustrated in Table 1, customer #1 of (4,1) pair is ranked higher than customer #6 of (1,4) pair. As a result, the evaluation score of customer #1 (82) is much higher than that of customer #6 (60). This is because customer #1 has more Net Revenue even though his No. of Flights is much

lower (2 times vs. 5 times of customer #6). As is apparent from this example, customers who contribute more revenue from fewer flights will always have a higher value than those who contribute less revenue but fly more frequently.

The same effect is observed in the example shown above with customers #3 and #4. They have the same pair of (3,3), but customer #4 is eventually assigned a higher evaluation score (74) than customer #3 (72) notwithstanding the fact that customer #3 flies more frequently than customer #4. This is because customer #4 has more Net Revenue than customer #3, which comes into account in the third sorting step. Although the difference of two (2) points in evaluation scores is relatively small, in certain situations it can have a big effect on customer value.

These concerns can be addressed with the following alternatives. In accordance with a first alternative method, a ratio of CV and FV values of every record/customer is calculated, and stored, for example, in Table 1 as a CV/FV value. In accordance with a second alternative method, a product of CV and FV values of every record/customer is calculated, and stored, for example, in Table 1 as a CV*FV value. The records/customers are then sorted in order of either CV/FV or CV*FV values. Optionally, the sorted records/customers are assigned an alternative evaluation score in an alternative assigning step which is similar to the second assigning step.

The first and second alternative methods may change entirely the ranking from what is seen under the "Evaluation Score" column in Table 1. According to the first alternative method, a record/customer with a high CV value and a low FV value, such as customer #2, will probably be ranked first. Conversely, customer #2 will be ranked last according to the second alternative method in which a CV value is actually weighted by its corresponding FV value. In this situation, customer #8 will be ranked first, followed by customer #7 and customer #9.

In brief, the alternative methods provide a relatively balanced ranking of customer values. The alternative methods are applicable depending on the circumstance, but they are especially suitable when the evaluation method discussed in the first part of this description is likely to produce inaccurate results for a large specific amount of data.

- 5 While there have been described and illustrated specific embodiments of the invention, it will be clear that variations in the details of the embodiments specifically illustrated and described may be made without departing from the true spirit and scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims.